



State of Utah

JON M. HUNTSMAN, JR.
Governor

GARY R. HERBERT
Lieutenant Governor

Administrative Services
D'ARCY DIXON PIGNANELLI
Executive Director

Purchasing and General Services
DOUGLAS RICHINS C.P.M.
Division Director

January 31, 2006

***** ADDENDUM *** ADDENDUM *** ADDENDUM *****

SOLICITATION: JG6035
DUE DATE: February 15, 2006
TIME: 5:00 PM
DESCRIPTION: IT GOVERNANCE PROJECT

ADDENDUM #4

The following are to be added or changed to the specifications for this RFP:

1. The following page contains two additional questions and their respective answers that should be considered when preparing your proposal.
2. With procurement, process questions contact Jared Gardner (801) 538-3342.

*****END OF ADDENDUM*****

To acknowledge receipt of addendum, include a copy of this addendum with RFP submittal or give written acknowledgement with the RFP. It shall be the responsibility of the bidder to appropriately disseminate this information to all concerned prior to the assigned bid time.

Company Name

Signature

Date

IT Governance System RFP Questions and Answers

1/31/2006

?#	Questions	Answers
53	<p>1) Can we bid for part of the Solicitation?</p> <p>2) Can we sub contract with the firm which will be awarded?</p> <p>3) Could you give us a list of the firms which have shown interest - so we can talk to them of sub contracting?</p>	<p>1) Bids will not be accepted for part of the Solicitation.</p> <p>2) Sub-contracting relationships need to be determined before the RFP responses are due.</p> <p>3) A list of firms which have shown interest may not be supplied during this portion of the RFP timeline.</p>
54	<p>We are working with several alliance partners in order to respond to the above referenced solicitation for IT Governance Software. It is apparent, however, based on the responses to vendor questions that there is a lack of knowledge with respect to the interfaces and the criteria to define a successful interface. This induces a substantial amount of risk assumptions being factored into the pricing.</p> <p>Will the State of Utah consider responsive a proposal that addresses the pilot phase of the project, limits the interfaces to 2 and implements a portion of the requirements with in a given period of time? In other words, would an alternative approach response be deemed acceptable to the State of UT?</p>	<p>The State may consider a proposal that addresses three interfaces during the pilot phase of the project.. The three interfaces would be ESS, FINET Data Warehouse and GroupWise. The ESS and FINET Data Warehouse are critical for Time Reporting and Charge Back and Billing functions. The State would also consider a phased approach for implementing a portion of the requirements. The comprehensive project plan will need to schedule start and finish dates to install all RFP requirements and interfaces.</p>